



1725

Practitioner's Docket No. P-1084**PATENT****IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re application of: Jaussaud, Dave, et al.

Application No.: 10/054,041 ✓ Group No.: 1725 ✓

Filed: Jan. 22, 2002 ✓ Examiner: Ildebrando, Christina A.

For: PROCESS FOR PRODUCTION OF MOLECULAR SIEVE ADSORBENT BLENDS

Confirmation No. 1380

Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231*RECEIVED*
APR 09 2003
GROUP 1700**AMENDMENT TRANSMITTAL****WARNING:** Failure to file a complete response in compliance with § 1.135(c) leads to a reduction in patent term adjustment - See § 1.704(c)(7).

1. Transmitted herewith is an amendment for this application.

STATUS

2. Applicant is

- a small entity. A statement:
- is attached.
- was already filed.
- other than a small entity.

CERTIFICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.8(a) and 1.10*(When using Express Mail, the Express Mail label number is mandatory;
Express Mail certification is optional.)

I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being:

MAILING

- deposited with the United States Postal Service in an envelope addressed to the Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231

37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a)

- with sufficient postage as first class mail.

37 C.F.R. § 1.10 *

- as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee"

Mailing Label No. _____ (mandatory)

TRANSMISSION

- facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office, (703) _____

Dorothy Goodlett

Signature

Dorothy Goodlett

(type or print name of person certifying)

* Only the date of filing (§ 1.6) will be the date used in a patent term adjustment calculation, although the date on any certificate of mailing or transmission under § 1.8 continues to be taken into account in determining timeliness. See § 1.703(f). Consider "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" (§ 1.10) or facsimile transmission (§ 1.6(d)) for the reply to be accorded the earliest possible filing date for patent term adjustment calculations.

EXTENSION OF TERM

NOTE: "Extension of Time in Patent Cases (Supplement Amendments) — If a timely and complete response has been filed after a Non-Final Office Action, an extension of time is not required to permit filing and/or entry of an additional amendment after expiration of the shortened statutory period.

If a timely response has been filed after a Final Office Action, an extension of time is required to permit filing and/or entry of a Notice of Appeal or filing and/or entry of an additional amendment after expiration of the shortened statutory period unless the timely-filed response placed the application in condition for allowance. Of course, if a Notice of Appeal has been filed within the shortened statutory period, the period has ceased to run." Notice of December 10, 1985 (1061 O.G. 34-35).

NOTE: See 37 C.F.R. § 1.645 for extensions of time in interference proceedings, and 37 C.F.R. § 1.550(c) for extensions of time in reexamination proceedings.

NOTE: 37 C.F.R. § 1.704(b) ". . . an applicant shall be deemed to have failed to engage in reasonable efforts to conclude processing or examination of an application for the cumulative total of any periods of time in excess of three months that are taken to reply to any notice or action by the Office making any rejection, objection, argument, or other request, measuring such three-month period from the date the notice or action was mailed or given to the applicant, in which case the period of adjustment set forth in § 1.703 shall be reduced by the number of days, if any, beginning on the day after the date that is three months after the date of mailing or transmission of the Office communication notifying the applicant of the rejection, objection, argument, or other request and ending on the date the reply was filed. The period, or shortened statutory period, for reply that is set in the Office action or notice has no effect on the three-month period set forth in this paragraph."

3. The proceedings herein are for a patent application and the provisions of 37 C.F.R. § 1.136 apply.

(complete (a) or (b), as applicable)

- (a) Applicant petitions for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136
(fees: 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(a)(1)-(4) for the total number of months checked below:

<u>Extension (months)</u>	<u>Fee for other than small entity</u>	<u>Fee for small entity</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> one month	\$ 110.00	\$ 55.00
<input type="checkbox"/> two months	\$ 400.00	\$ 200.00
<input type="checkbox"/> three months	\$ 920.00	\$ 460.00
<input type="checkbox"/> four months	\$ 1,440.00	\$ 720.00

Fee: \$ _____

If an additional extension of time is required, please consider this a petition therefor.

(check and complete the next item, if applicable)

- An extension for _____ months has already been secured. The fee paid therefor of \$_____ is deducted from the total fee due for the total months of extension now requested.

Extension fee due with this request \$ _____

OR

- (b) Applicant believes that no extension of term is required. However, this is a conditional petition is being made to provide for the possibility that applicant has inadvertently overlooked the need for a petition for extension of time.

FEE FOR CLAIMS

4. The fee for claims (37 C.F.R. § 1.16(b)-(d)) has been calculated as shown below:

(Col. 1)	(Col. 2)	(Col. 3)	SMALL ENTITY	OTHER THAN A SMALL ENTITY
CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT	HIGHEST NO PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	PRESENT EXTRA	RATE	ADDT. FEE OR RATE
				ADDT. FEE
TOTAL * 12	MINUS ** 24	= 0	x\$9 = \$	x\$18 = \$
INDEP. * 1	MINUS *** 3	= 0	x\$42 = \$	x\$84 = \$
<input type="checkbox"/> FIRST PRESENTATION OF MULTIPLE DEP. CLAIM			+ \$140 = \$	+ \$280 = \$
			TOTAL ADDT. FEE \$	OR TOTAL ADDT. FEE

- FEE \$
- If the entry in Col. 1 is less than entry in Col. 2, write "0" in Col. 3.
 - If the "Highest No. Previously Paid for" IN THIS SPACE is less than 20, enter "20."
 - If the "Highest No. Previously Paid For" IN THIS SPACE is less than 3, enter "3."The "Highest No. Previously Paid For" (Total or indep.) is the highest number found in the appropriate box in Col. 1 of a prior amendment or the number of claims originally filed.

WARNING: "After final rejection or action (§ 1.113) amendments may be made cancelling claims or complying with any requirement of form which has been made." 37 C.F.R. § 1.116(a) (emphasis added).

(complete (c) or (d), as applicable)

(c) No additional fee for claims is required.

OR

(d) Total additional fee for claims required \$ _____.

FEE PAYMENT

- Attached is a check money order in the amount of \$ _____

Authorization is hereby made to charge the amount of \$ _____

to Deposit Account No. _____

to Credit card as shown on the attached credit card information authorization form PTO-2038.

WARNING: Credit card information should not be included on this form as it may become public.

- Charge any additional fees required by this paper or credit any overpayment in the manner authorized above.

A duplicate of this paper is attached.

FEE DEFICIENCY

NOTE: If there is a fee deficiency and there is no authorization to charge an account, additional fees are necessary to cover the additional time consumed in making up the original deficiency. If the maximum, six-month period has expired before the deficiency is noted and corrected, the application is held abandoned. In those instances where authorization to charge is included, processing delays are encountered in returning the papers to the PTO Finance Branch in order to apply these charges prior to action on the cases. Authorization to charge the deposit account for any fee deficiency should be checked. See the Notice of April 7, 1986, (1065 O.G. 31-33).

6. If any additional extension and/or fee is required, charge Account
No. 03-3420

AND/OR

- If any additional fee for claims is required, charge Account
No. 03-3420



SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER

Scott R. Cox

(type or print name of practitioner)

400 West Market St., Suite 2200
P.O. Address

Louisville, KY 40202

Reg. No.: 31,945

Tel. No.: (502) 589-4215

Customer No.:



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

STOLA
JULIA
4/10/03

re application of :
Jaussaud, Dave, et al. :

Serial No. 10/054,041 :

Filing Date: Jan. 22, 2002 :

Attorney Docket No. P-1084 :

For: PROCESS FOR PRODUCTION
OF MOLECULAR SIEVE
ADSORBENT BLENDS :

Art Unit: 1725

Examiner:

Ildebrando, Christina A.

Confirmation No. 1381

Box Non-Fee Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

RECEIVED
APR 09 2003
GROUP 1700

RESPONSE

This is a response to the Restriction Requirement of the USPTO dated March 25, 2003.

The applicants elect to prosecute the claims of invention I, Claims 1-12, drawn to an absorbent composition without traverse. Accordingly, the applicants request that Claims 13-24 be cancelled, recognizing that these claims can be revived in a later filed divisional patent application.

The applicants further advise the USPTO that the inventorship is unaffected by this cancellation of claims and thus need not be amended.

The applicants believe that it is unnecessary to respond to the other points discussed in the Office Action, particularly those

concerning an election of a species, as those other points were only at issue if a different invention was elected.

CONCLUSION

The applicants believe that by this response they have fully addressed all issues raised by the USPTO. However, if there are any additional issues, please contact applicants' counsel by telephone.

Respectfully submitted,



Scott R. Cox
Reg. No. 31,945
LYNCH, COX, GILMAN & MAHAN, P.S.C.
400 West Market Street, Suite 2200
Louisville, Kentucky 40202
(502) 589-4215

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231.

Dated:

April 2, 2003

Dorothy Goodlett

SRC:dg
C:\WP\PAT\P1084.A
93805
4-1-03